

UNAVOIDABLE PATTERNS IN WORDS

Benny Sudakov
ETH, Zurich

joint with D.Conlon and J. Fox

DEFINITION:

The Ramsey number $r_k(n)$ is the minimum N such that every 2-coloring of the k -tuples of an N -element set contains a monochromatic set of order n .

DEFINITION:

The Ramsey number $r_k(n)$ is the minimum N such that every 2-coloring of the k -tuples of an N -element set contains a monochromatic set of order n .

THEOREM: (RAMSEY 1930)

For all k, n , the Ramsey number $r_k(n)$ is finite.

RAMSEY NUMBERS

DEFINITION:

The Ramsey number $r_k(n)$ is the minimum N such that every 2-coloring of the k -tuples of an N -element set contains a monochromatic set of order n .

THEOREM: (RAMSEY 1930)

For all k, n , the Ramsey number $r_k(n)$ is finite.

QUESTION:

Estimate the growth rate of $r_k(n)$.

BOUNDS ON RAMSEY NUMBERS

THEOREM:

$$2^{n/2} \leq r_2(n) \leq 2^{2n}.$$

(Erdős'47, Erdős–Szekeres'35)

THEOREM:

$$2^{n/2} \leq r_2(n) \leq 2^{2n}. \quad (\text{Erdős'47, Erdős-Szekeres'35})$$

$$2^{cn^2} \leq r_3(n) \leq 2^{2^{c'n}}. \quad (\text{Erdős-Rado'52, Erdős-Hajnal'60s})$$

THEOREM:

$$2^{n/2} \leq r_2(n) \leq 2^{2n}. \quad (\text{Erdős'47, Erdős-Szekeres'35})$$

$$2^{cn^2} \leq r_3(n) \leq 2^{2^{c'n}}. \quad (\text{Erdős-Rado'52, Erdős-Hajnal'60s})$$

Remarks:

- There is a similar gap of one exponential between the upper and the lower bound for $r_k(n)$ for $k > 3$. These bounds are towers of exponentials of height k and $k - 1$ respectively.

THEOREM:

$$2^{n/2} \leq r_2(n) \leq 2^{2n}. \quad (\text{Erdős'47, Erdős-Szekeres'35})$$

$$2^{cn^2} \leq r_3(n) \leq 2^{2^{c'n}}. \quad (\text{Erdős-Rado'52, Erdős-Hajnal'60s})$$

Remarks:

- There is a similar gap of one exponential between the upper and the lower bound for $r_k(n)$ for $k > 3$. These bounds are towers of exponentials of height k and $k - 1$ respectively.
- Determining the behavior of $r_3(n)$ will close the gap for all k due to stepping-up lemma of Erdős-Hajnal, which constructs lower bound colorings for uniformity $k + 1$ from colorings for uniformity k , effectively gaining an extra exponential each time it is applied.

DEFINITION

- Words and patterns are strings of characters over fixed alphabets.

DEFINITION

- Words and patterns are strings of characters over fixed alphabets.
- A subword of a word is a block of consecutive letters.

DEFINITION

- Words and patterns are strings of characters over fixed alphabets.
- A subword of a word is a block of consecutive letters.
- A word w contains the pattern P if there is a way to substitute a nonempty word for each letter in P so that the resulting word is a subword of w .

DEFINITION

- Words and patterns are strings of characters over fixed alphabets.
- A subword of a word is a block of consecutive letters.
- A word w contains the pattern P if there is a way to substitute a nonempty word for each letter in P so that the resulting word is a subword of w .

Example:

The word *mathematics* contains the pattern $xyxz$ with $x = mat$, $y = he$ and $z = ics$.

DEFINITION

- Words and patterns are strings of characters over fixed alphabets.
- A subword of a word is a block of consecutive letters.
- A word w contains the pattern P if there is a way to substitute a nonempty word for each letter in P so that the resulting word is a subword of w .

Example:

The word *mathematics* contains the pattern $xyxz$ with $x = mat$, $y = he$ and $z = ics$.

DEFINITION:

A pattern P is q -unavoidable if every sufficiently long word over an alphabet of size q contains a copy of P .

DEFINITION:

A pattern P is q -unavoidable if every sufficiently long word over an alphabet of size q contains a copy of P .

Examples:

- THUE 1906: The pattern xx is 2-unavoidable, but 3-avoidable.

DEFINITION:

A pattern P is q -unavoidable if every sufficiently long word over an alphabet of size q contains a copy of P .

Examples:

- THUE 1906: The pattern xx is 2-unavoidable, but 3-avoidable.
- THUE 1912, MORSE 1921: The pattern xxx is 1-unavoidable, but 2-avoidable.

DEFINITION:

A pattern P is q -unavoidable if every sufficiently long word over an alphabet of size q contains a copy of P .

Examples:

- THUE 1906: The pattern xx is 2-unavoidable, but 3-avoidable.
- THUE 1912, MORSE 1921: The pattern xxx is 1-unavoidable, but 2-avoidable.

Start with a and recursively substitute $a \mapsto ab$ and $b \mapsto ba$.

DEFINITION:

A pattern P is q -unavoidable if every sufficiently long word over an alphabet of size q contains a copy of P .

Examples and applications of pattern avoidance:

- Combinatorics
- Group theory, e.g, Burnside problem, Undecidability
- Symbolic Dynamics
- Number theory

DEFINITION:

A pattern P is unavoidable if it is q -unavoidable for all $q \geq 1$.

UNAVOIDABILITY

DEFINITION:

A pattern P is unavoidable if it is q -unavoidable for all $q \geq 1$.

RAMSEY QUESTION FOR PATTERNS:

Which patterns are unavoidable?

DEFINITION:

A pattern P is unavoidable if it is q -unavoidable for all $q \geq 1$.

RAMSEY QUESTION FOR PATTERNS:

Which patterns are unavoidable?

THEOREM: (BEAN–EHRENFEUCHT–McNULTY 1979, ZIMIN 1984)

A word is unavoidable if and only if it is contained in a Zimin word, defined recursively by $Z_1 = x_1$ and $Z_n = Z_{n-1}x_nZ_{n-1}$.

DEFINITION:

A pattern P is unavoidable if it is q -unavoidable for all $q \geq 1$.

RAMSEY QUESTION FOR PATTERNS:

Which patterns are unavoidable?

THEOREM: (BEAN–EHRENFEUCHT–MCNULTY 1979, ZIMIN 1984)

A word is unavoidable if and only if it is contained in a Zimin word, defined recursively by $Z_1 = x_1$ and $Z_n = Z_{n-1}x_nZ_{n-1}$.

Zimin words:

$$Z_1 = x$$

DEFINITION:

A pattern P is unavoidable if it is q -unavoidable for all $q \geq 1$.

RAMSEY QUESTION FOR PATTERNS:

Which patterns are unavoidable?

THEOREM: (BEAN–EHRENFEUCHT–MCNULTY 1979, ZIMIN 1984)

A word is unavoidable if and only if it is contained in a Zimin word, defined recursively by $Z_1 = x_1$ and $Z_n = Z_{n-1}x_nZ_{n-1}$.

Zimin words:

$$Z_1 = x \quad Z_2 = xyx$$

DEFINITION:

A pattern P is unavoidable if it is q -unavoidable for all $q \geq 1$.

RAMSEY QUESTION FOR PATTERNS:

Which patterns are unavoidable?

THEOREM: (BEAN–EHRENFEUCHT–McNULTY 1979, ZIMIN 1984)

A word is unavoidable if and only if it is contained in a Zimin word, defined recursively by $Z_1 = x_1$ and $Z_n = Z_{n-1}x_nZ_{n-1}$.

Zimin words:

$$Z_1 = x \quad Z_2 = xyx \quad Z_3 = xyxzxyx$$

DEFINITION:

A pattern P is unavoidable if it is q -unavoidable for all $q \geq 1$.

RAMSEY QUESTION FOR PATTERNS:

Which patterns are unavoidable?

THEOREM: (BEAN–EHRENFEUCHT–McNULTY 1979, ZIMIN 1984)

A word is unavoidable if and only if it is contained in a Zimin word, defined recursively by $Z_1 = x_1$ and $Z_n = Z_{n-1}x_nZ_{n-1}$.

Zimin words:

$$Z_1 = x \quad Z_2 = xyx \quad Z_3 = xyxzxyx$$

$$Z_4 = xyxzxyxwxyxzxyx$$

DEFINITION:

Let $f(n, q)$ be the smallest natural number such that any word of length $f(n, q)$ over an alphabet of size q contains Zimin word Z_n .

DEFINITION:

Let $f(n, q)$ be the smallest natural number such that any word of length $f(n, q)$ over an alphabet of size q contains Zimin word Z_n .

Problem: Estimate the asymptotics of $f(n, q)$.

DEFINITION:

Let $f(n, q)$ be the smallest natural number such that any word of length $f(n, q)$ over an alphabet of size q contains Zimin word Z_n .

Problem: Estimate the asymptotics of $f(n, q)$.

FEW UPPER BOUNDS:

- $f(1, q) = 1$, follows easily from $Z_1 = x$.

DEFINITION:

Let $f(n, q)$ be the smallest natural number such that any word of length $f(n, q)$ over an alphabet of size q contains Zimin word Z_n .

Problem: Estimate the asymptotics of $f(n, q)$.

FEW UPPER BOUNDS:

- $f(1, q) = 1$, follows easily from $Z_1 = x$.
- $f(2, q) = 2q + 1$, follows easily from $Z_2 = xyx$.

DEFINITION:

Let $f(n, q)$ be the smallest natural number such that any word of length $f(n, q)$ over an alphabet of size q contains Zimin word Z_n .

Problem: Estimate the asymptotics of $f(n, q)$.

FEW UPPER BOUNDS:

- $f(1, q) = 1$, follows easily from $Z_1 = x$.
- $f(2, q) = 2q + 1$, follows easily from $Z_2 = xyx$.
- $f(3, q) \leq q^q$ (Rytter–Shur)

LEMMA: (COOPER–RORABAUGH)

$$f(n+1, q) \leq (f(n, q) + 1)(q^{f(n, q)} + 1) - 1$$

LEMMA: (COOPER–RORABAUGH)

$$f(n+1, q) \leq (f(n, q) + 1)(q^{f(n, q)} + 1) - 1$$

Proof: Given some word w , split it into $m = q^{f(n, q)} + 1$ words w_i of length $f(n, q)$, which are separated by single letters:

$$w_1 x w_2 y \dots z w_m$$

Then there are two identical words w_i and w_j , each containing the same copy of some Zimin word Z_n . This forms Z_{n+1} . \square

LEMMA: (COOPER–RORABAUGH)

$$f(n+1, q) \leq (f(n, q) + 1)(q^{f(n, q)} + 1) - 1$$

Proof: Given some word w , split it into $m = q^{f(n, q)} + 1$ words w_i of length $f(n, q)$, which are separated by single letters:

$$w_1 x w_2 y \dots z w_m$$

Then there are two identical words w_i and w_j , each containing the same copy of some Zimin word Z_n . This forms Z_{n+1} . \square

THEOREM:

$$f(n, q) \leq \underbrace{q^{\dots^q}}_{n-1 \text{ times}}.$$

LEMMA: (COOPER–RORABAUGH)

$$f(n+1, q) \leq (f(n, q) + 1)(q^{f(n, q)} + 1) - 1$$

Proof: Given some word w , split it into $m = q^{f(n, q)} + 1$ words w_i of length $f(n, q)$, which are separated by single letters:

$$w_1 x w_2 y \dots z w_m$$

Then there are two identical words w_i and w_j , each containing the same copy of some Zimin word Z_n . This forms Z_{n+1} . \square

THEOREM:

$$f(n, q) \leq \underbrace{q^{\dots^q}}_{n-1 \text{ times}}.$$

Proof: Apply lemma recursively, starting with $f(3, q) \leq q^q$. \square

LOWER BOUNDS?

LEMMA: (COOPER–RORABAUGH)

$$f(n, q) \geq q^{2^{n-1}(1+o(1))},$$

where the $o(1)$ term depends on both q and n .

LEMMA: (COOPER–RORABAUGH)

$$f(n, q) \geq q^{2^{n-1}(1+o(1))},$$

where the $o(1)$ term depends on both q and n .

Remark: Note that for any fixed n this bound is only constant degree polynomial in q versus upper bound which is tower-type!

LOWER BOUNDS?

LEMMA: (COOPER–RORABAUGH)

$$f(n, q) \geq q^{2^{n-1}(1+o(1))},$$

where the $o(1)$ term depends on both q and n .

Remark: Note that for any fixed n this bound is only constant degree polynomial in q versus upper bound which is tower-type!

QUESTION:

What is the maximum length of a word not containing the n -th Zimin word?

THEOREM: (CONLON-FOX-S.)

- For fixed n and large q ,

$$f(n, q) \geq q^{\dots^{q-o(q)}} \}^{n-1 \text{ times}} .$$

THEOREM: (CONLON-FOX-S.)

- For fixed n and large q ,

$$f(n, q) \geq q^{\dots^{q-o(q)}} \Big\}^{n-1 \text{ times}} .$$

-

$$f(n, 2) \geq 2^{\dots^2} \Big\}^{n-4 \text{ times}} .$$

THEOREM: (CONLON-FOX-S.)

- For fixed n and large q ,

$$f(n, q) \geq q^{\dots^{q-o(q)}} \}^{n-1 \text{ times}} .$$

-

$$f(n, 2) \geq 2^{\dots^2} \}^{n-4 \text{ times}} .$$

- For $q \geq 5$,

$$0.75 \cdot 2^q q! \leq f(3, q) \leq 5 \cdot 2^q q! .$$

Tight lower bound for large alphabets

THEOREM: (CONLON-FOX-S.)

For fixed n and large q ,

$$f(n, q) \geq \underbrace{q^{\dots^{q-o(q)}}}_{n-1 \text{ times}} .$$

THEOREM: (CONLON-FOX-S.)

For fixed n and large q ,

$$f(n, q) \geq q^{\dots q^{-o(q)}} \}^{n-1 \text{ times}} .$$

Ingredients of the proof:

- Analyze a certain random construction using Lovász Local Lemma to show that there are $q^{q^{-o(q)}}$ words avoiding Z_3 .

THEOREM: (CONLON-FOX-S.)

For fixed n and large q ,

$$f(n, q) \geq \underbrace{q^{\dots^{q-o(q)}}}_{n-1 \text{ times}} .$$

Ingredients of the proof:

- Analyze a certain random construction using Lovász Local Lemma to show that there are $q^{q^{q-o(q)}}$ words avoiding Z_3 .
- To go from n to $n + 1$ develop an iterative step-up construction which gains an extra exponential at every step.

The step-up construction

LEMMA:

Let $S(n, q)$ denote the set of all words w over an alphabet of size q which avoid Z_n and have a distinguished letter, say d , such that any subword of w not containing the letter d avoids Z_{n-1} . Then

$$|S(n + 1, q + 2)| \geq |S(n, q)|!$$

The step-up construction

LEMMA:

Let $S(n, q)$ denote the set of all words w over an alphabet of size q which avoid Z_n and have a distinguished letter, say d , such that any subword of w not containing the letter d avoids Z_{n-1} . Then

$$|S(n+1, q+2)| \geq |S(n, q)|!$$

Proof: Let $m = |S(n, q)|$ and let w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m be one of the $m!$ orderings of the words in $S(n, q)$.

The step-up construction

LEMMA:

Let $S(n, q)$ denote the set of all words w over an alphabet of size q which avoid Z_n and have a distinguished letter, say d , such that any subword of w not containing the letter d avoids Z_{n-1} . Then

$$|S(n + 1, q + 2)| \geq |S(n, q)|!$$

Proof: Let $m = |S(n, q)|$ and let w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m be one of the $m!$ orderings of the words in $S(n, q)$. If c is the distinguished letter in w_i for each i , let u_i be the word formed from w_i by replacing c with c_1 if i is odd and c_0 if i is even.

The step-up construction

LEMMA:

Let $S(n, q)$ denote the set of all words w over an alphabet of size q which avoid Z_n and have a distinguished letter, say d , such that any subword of w not containing the letter d avoids Z_{n-1} . Then

$$|S(n+1, q+2)| \geq |S(n, q)|!$$

Proof: Let $m = |S(n, q)|$ and let w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m be one of the $m!$ orderings of the words in $S(n, q)$. If c is the distinguished letter in w_i for each i , let u_i be the word formed from w_i by replacing c with c_1 if i is odd and c_0 if i is even. Then consider the word

$$u_1 d u_2 d \dots d u_m$$

where d is a new letter.

The step-up construction

LEMMA:

Let $S(n, q)$ denote the set of all words w over an alphabet of size q which avoid Z_n and have a distinguished letter, say d , such that any subword of w not containing the letter d avoids Z_{n-1} . Then

$$|S(n + 1, q + 2)| \geq |S(n, q)|!$$

Proof: Let $m = |S(n, q)|$ and let w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m be one of the $m!$ orderings of the words in $S(n, q)$. If c is the distinguished letter in w_i for each i , let u_i be the word formed from w_i by replacing c with c_1 if i is odd and c_0 if i is even. Then consider the word

$$u_1 d u_2 d \dots d u_m$$

where d is a new letter. This word contains $q + 2$ letters and we claim that it is in $S(n + 1, q + 2)$.

An open problem

EXAMPLES:

- $P = xxx$ is 2-avoidable but not 1-avoidable.

An open problem

EXAMPLES:

- $P = xxx$ is 2-avoidable but not 1-avoidable.
- $P = xx$ is 3-avoidable but not 2-avoidable.

EXAMPLES:

- $P = xxx$ is 2-avoidable but not 1-avoidable.
- $P = xx$ is 3-avoidable but not 2-avoidable.
- $P = xyayzbzxcyxdxz$ is 4-avoidable but not 3-avoidable.
(Baker–McNulty–Taylor 1989)

An open problem

EXAMPLES:

- $P = xxx$ is 2-avoidable but not 1-avoidable.
- $P = xx$ is 3-avoidable but not 2-avoidable.
- $P = xyayzbzxcydxz$ is 4-avoidable but not 3-avoidable.
(Baker–McNulty–Taylor 1989)
- $P = xyaxzbyxcyzdzwzewzw$ is 5-avoidable but not 4-avoidable. (Clark 2004)

An open problem

EXAMPLES:

- $P = xxx$ is 2-avoidable but not 1-avoidable.
- $P = xx$ is 3-avoidable but not 2-avoidable.
- $P = xyayzbzxcyxdxz$ is 4-avoidable but not 3-avoidable.
(Baker–McNulty–Taylor 1989)
- $P = xyaxzbyxcydzwxewzw$ is 5-avoidable but not 4-avoidable. (Clark 2004)

PROBLEM:

Do there exist patterns P which are q -unavoidable but $(q + 1)$ -avoidable for every integer $q \geq 1$?

An open problem

EXAMPLES:

- $P = xxx$ is 2-avoidable but not 1-avoidable.
- $P = xx$ is 3-avoidable but not 2-avoidable.
- $P = xyayzbzxcyxdxz$ is 4-avoidable but not 3-avoidable.
(Baker–McNulty–Taylor 1989)
- $P = xyaxzbyxcydzwxewzw$ is 5-avoidable but not 4-avoidable. (Clark 2004)

PROBLEM:

Do there exist patterns P which are q -unavoidable but $(q + 1)$ -avoidable for every integer $q \geq 1$?

Remark: No such words have been found so far for $q \geq 5$.

